

ADDITIVITY OF MAPS ON TRIANGULAR ALGEBRAS

XUEHAN CHENG AND WU JING

ABSTRACT. We prove that every multiplicative bijective map, Jordan bijective map and Jordan triple bijective map from a triangular algebra onto any ring is automatically additive.

1. INTRODUCTION

If a ring $\mathcal{R}$ contains a nontrivial idempotent, it is kind of surprise that every multiplicative bijective map from $\mathcal{R}$ onto an arbitrary ring is automatically additive. This result was given by Martindale III in his excellent paper [9]. More precisely, he proved:

Theorem 1.1. ([9]) *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a ring containing a family $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of idempotents which satisfies:*

- (i) $x\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$;
- (ii) If $e_\alpha\mathcal{R}x = \{0\}$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $x = 0$ (and hence $\mathcal{R}x = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$);
- (iii) For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $e_\alpha x e_\alpha \mathcal{R}(1 - e_\alpha) = \{0\}$ implies $e_\alpha x e_\alpha = 0$.

Then any multiplicative bijective map from $\mathcal{R}$ onto an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$ is additive.

Note that the proof of [9] has become a standard argument and been applied widely by several authors in investigating the additivity of maps on rings as well as on operator algebras (see [2]-[8]). Following this standard argument (see [9]), in this paper we continue to study the additivity of map on triangular algebras. We will show that every multiplicative bijective map, Jordan bijective map, and Jordan triple bijective map from a triangular algebra onto an arbitrary ring is additive. The advantage of triangular algebra is that its special structure enables us to borrow the idea from [9] while we do not require the existence of nontrivial idempotents.

We now introduce some definitions and results.

Date: June 8, 2007.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W99; 47B49; 47L10.

Key words and phrases. multiplicative maps; triangular algebras; standard operator algebras; additivity.

This work is partially supported by NNSF of China (No. 10671086) and NSF of Ludong University (No. LY20062704).

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ be two rings, and ϕ be a map from $\mathcal{R}$ to $\mathcal{R}'$. Suppose that a, b , and c are arbitrary elements of $\mathcal{R}$.

ϕ is said to be *multiplicative* if

$$\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b).$$

ϕ is called a *Jordan map* if

$$\phi(ab + ba) = \phi(a)\phi(b) + \phi(b)\phi(a).$$

Finally, ϕ is called a *Jordan triple map* if

$$\phi(abc + cba) = \phi(a)\phi(b)\phi(c) + \phi(c)\phi(b)\phi(a).$$

Note that no additivity is required in this definition.

Recall that a *triangular algebra* $Tri(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ is an algebra of the form

$$Tri(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, b \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

under the usual matrix operations, where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, and $\mathcal{M}$ is an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule which is faithful as a left $\mathcal{A}$ -module and also as a right $\mathcal{B}$ -module (see [1]).

Throughout this paper, we set

$$\mathcal{T}_{11} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathcal{A} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{12} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : m \in \mathcal{M} \right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_{22} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : b \in \mathcal{B} \right\}.$$

Then we may write $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{11} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{12} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{22}$, and every element $a \in \mathcal{T}$ can be written as $a = a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{22}$. Note that notation a_{ij} denotes an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{T}_{ij}$.

Let X be a Banach space. We denote by $B(X)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . A subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $B(X)$ is called a *standard operator algebra* if $\mathcal{A}$ contains all finite rank operator. Note that if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$ (or $\mathcal{A}A = \{0\}$), then $A = 0$.

2. MULTIPLICATIVE MAPS ON TRIANGULAR ALGEBRAS

In this section we study the additivity of multiplicative map on triangular algebras. We now state our first main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{M}$ a faithful $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, and $\mathcal{T}$ be the triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Suppose that algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy:*

- (i) *If $a\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$, or $\mathcal{A}a = \{0\}$, then $a = 0$;*
- (ii) *If $b\mathcal{B} = \{0\}$, or $\mathcal{B}b = \{0\}$, then $b = 0$.*

Then any multiplicative bijective map from $\mathcal{T}$ onto an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$ is additive.

The proof of this theorem is organized into a series of lemmas. In what follows, ϕ will be a multiplicative bijective map from $\mathcal{T}$ onto an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$.

Lemma 2.2. $\phi(0) = 0$.

Proof. Since ϕ is surjective, there exists $a \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\phi(a) = 0$. Then $\phi(0) = \phi(0 \cdot a) = \phi(0)\phi(a) = \phi(0) \cdot 0 = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *For any $a_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $b_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$, we have*

$$\phi(a_{11} + b_{12}) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{12}).$$

Proof. Let $c \in \mathcal{T}$ be chosen such that $\phi(c) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{12})$.

For arbitrary $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(ct_{11}) &= \phi(c)\phi(t_{11}) = (\phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{12}))\phi(t_{11}) \\ &= \phi(a_{11})\phi(t_{11}) + \phi(b_{12})\phi(t_{11}) = \phi(a_{11}t_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $ct_{11} = a_{11}t_{11}$, and so $c_{11} = a_{11}$.

Similarly, we can get $c_{22} = 0$.

We now show that $c_{12} = b_{12}$. For any $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $s_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t_{11}cs_{22}) &= \phi(t_{11})\phi(c)\phi(s_{22}) \\ &= \phi(t_{11})(\phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{12}))\phi(s_{22}) \\ &= \phi(t_{11}b_{12}s_{22}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $t_{11}cs_{22} = t_{11}b_{12}s_{22}$, which give us that $c_{12} = b_{12}$. $\square$

Similarly, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *For arbitrary $a_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$ and $b_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$, the following holds true.*

$$\phi(a_{22} + b_{12}) = \phi(a_{22}) + \phi(b_{12}).$$

Lemma 2.5. *For any $a_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$, $b_{12}, c_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$, and $d_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, we have*

$$\phi(a_{11}b_{12} + c_{12}d_{22}) = \phi(a_{11}b_{12}) + \phi(c_{12}d_{22}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(a_{11}b_{12} + c_{12}d_{22}) \\
&= \phi(a_{11} + c_{12})(b_{12} + d_{22}) \\
&= \phi(a_{11} + c_{12})\phi(b_{12} + d_{22}) \\
&= (\phi(a_{11}) + \phi(c_{12}))(\phi(b_{12}) + \phi(d_{22})) \\
&= \phi(a_{11})\phi(b_{12}) + \phi(a_{11})\phi(d_{22}) + \phi(c_{12})\phi(b_{12}) + \phi(c_{12})\phi(d_{22}) \\
&= \phi(a_{11}b_{12}) + \phi(c_{12}d_{22}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. ϕ is additive on $\mathcal{T}_{12}$.

Proof. Suppose that a_{12} and b_{12} are two elements of $\mathcal{T}$. We pick $c \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\phi(c) = \phi(a_{12}) + \phi(b_{12})$. For any $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $s_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(t_{11}cs_{22}) &= \phi(t_{11})\phi(c)\phi(s_{22}) \\
&= \phi(t_{11})(\phi(a_{12}) + \phi(b_{12}))\phi(s_{22}) \\
&= \phi(t_{11})\phi(a_{12})\phi(s_{22}) + \phi(t_{11})\phi(b_{12})\phi(s_{22}) \\
&= \phi(t_{11}a_{12}s_{22} + t_{11}b_{12}s_{22}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the last equality we apply Lemma 2.5. It follows that $t_{11}cs_{22} = t_{11}a_{12}s_{22} + t_{11}b_{12}s_{22}$, and so $c_{12} = a_{12} + b_{12}$.

We can get $c_{11} = c_{22} = 0$ by considering $\phi(ct_{11})$ and $\phi(t_{22}c)$ for arbitrary $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $t_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$ respectively. □

Lemma 2.7. ϕ is additive on $\mathcal{T}_{11}$.

Proof. Let $a_{11}, b_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$, and $c \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\phi(c) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{11})$. We only show that $c_{11} = a_{11} + b_{11}$. One can easily get $c_{22} = c_{12} = 0$ by considering $\phi(t_{22}c)$ and $\phi(t_{11}cs_{22})$ for any $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $t_{22}, s_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$.

For any $t_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$, using Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(ct_{12}) &= \phi(c)\phi(t_{12}) \\
&= (\phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{11}))\phi(t_{12}) \\
&= \phi(a_{11}t_{12}) + \phi(b_{11}t_{12}) \\
&= \phi(a_{11}t_{12}) + \phi(b_{11}t_{12}),
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to $ct_{12} = a_{11}t_{12} + b_{11}t_{12}$. Accordingly, $c_{11} = a_{11} + b_{11}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Suppose that a and b are two arbitrary elements of $\mathcal{T}$. We choose an element $c \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\phi(c) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$. For any $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and

$s_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(t_{11}cs_{22}) &= \phi(t_{11})\phi(c)\phi(s_{22}) \\
 &= \phi(t_{11})(\phi(a) + \phi(b))\phi(s_{22}) \\
 &= \phi(t_{11})\phi(a)\phi(s_{22}) + \phi(t_{11})\phi(b)\phi(s_{22}) \\
 &= \phi(t_{11}as_{22}) + \phi(t_{11}bs_{22}) \\
 &= \phi(t_{11}as_{22} + t_{11}bs_{22}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $c_{12} = a_{12} + b_{12}$.

Since ϕ is additive on $\mathcal{T}_{11}$, we can get $c_{11} = a_{11} + b_{11}$ from $\phi(ct_{11}) = \phi(at_{11}) + \phi(bt_{11}) = \phi(at_{11} + bt_{11})$.

In the similar manner, one can get $c_{22} = a_{22} + b_{22}$. The proof is complete.

If algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ contain identities, then we have the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two unital algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{M}$ a faithful $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, and $\mathcal{T}$ be the triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Then any multiplicative bijective map from $\mathcal{R}$ onto an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$ is additive.*

We end this section with the case when $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are standard operator algebras.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two standard operator algebras over a Banach space X , $\mathcal{M}$ a faithful $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, and $\mathcal{T}$ be the triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Then any multiplicative bijective map from $\mathcal{R}$ onto an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$ is additive.*

3. JORDAN MAPS ON TRIANGULAR ALGEBRAS

In this section we deal with Jordan maps on triangular algebras.

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ will be a triangular algebra, where $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are two algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a faithful $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule satisfying

- (i) If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $ax + xa = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then $a = 0$;
- (ii) If $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $by + yb = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{B}$, then $b = 0$.

Map ϕ is a Jordan bijective map from $\mathcal{T}$ onto an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$.

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. $\phi(0) = 0$

Proof. Pick $a \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\phi(a) = 0$. Then $\phi(0) = \phi(a \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot a) = \phi(a)\phi(0) + \phi(0)\phi(a) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $a, b, c \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\phi(c) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$, then for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$*

$$\phi(tc + ct) = \phi(t)\phi(c) + \phi(c)\phi(t).$$

Proof. Multiplying $\phi(c) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ by $\phi(t)$ from the left and the right respectively and adding them together, one can easily get $\phi(tc + ct) = \phi(t)\phi(c) + \phi(c)\phi(t)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *For any $a_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $b_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$, we have*

$$\phi(a_{11} + b_{12}) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{12}).$$

Proof. Let $c \in \mathcal{T}$ be chosen such that $\phi(c) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{12})$. Now for any $t_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\phi(t_{22}c + ct_{22}) = \phi(t_{22}a_{11} + a_{11}t_{22}) + \phi(t_{22}b_{12} + b_{12}t_{22}) = \phi(b_{12}t_{22}).$$

It follows that $t_{22}c + ct_{22} = b_{12}t_{22}$, i.e., $t_{22}c_{22} + c_{12}t_{22} + c_{22}t_{22} = b_{12}t_{22}$. This implies that $c_{12}t_{22} = b_{12}t_{22}$ and $t_{22}c_{22} + c_{22}t_{22} = 0$, and so $c_{12} = b_{12}$ and $c_{22} = 0$.

From

$$\phi(t_{12}c + ct_{12}) = \phi(t_{12}a_{11} + a_{11}t_{12}) + \phi(t_{12}b_{12} + b_{12}t_{12}) = \phi(a_{11}t_{12}),$$

one can get $c_{11} = a_{11}$. □

Similarly, we have

Lemma 3.4. *For arbitrary $a_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$ and $b_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, the following is true.*

$$\phi(a_{12} + b_{22}) = \phi(a_{12}) + \phi(b_{22}).$$

Lemma 3.5.

$$\phi(a_{11}b_{12} + c_{12}d_{22}) = \phi(a_{11}b_{12}) + \phi(c_{12}d_{22})$$

holds true for any $a_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$, $b_{12}, c_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$, and $d_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(a_{11}b_{12} + c_{12}d_{22}) \\ = & \phi((a_{11} + c_{12})(b_{12} + d_{22}) + (b_{12} + d_{22})(a_{11} + c_{12})) \\ = & \phi(a_{11} + c_{12})\phi(b_{12} + d_{22}) + \phi(b_{12} + d_{22})\phi(a_{11} + c_{12}) \\ = & (\phi(a_{11}) + \phi(c_{12}))(\phi(b_{12}) + \phi(d_{22})) + (\phi(b_{12}) + \phi(d_{22}))(\phi(a_{11}) + \phi(c_{12})) \\ = & \phi(a_{11}b_{12} + b_{12}a_{11}) + \phi(a_{11}d_{22} + d_{22}a_{11}) + \phi(c_{12}b_{12} + b_{12}c_{12}) + \phi(c_{12}d_{22} + d_{22}c_{12}) \\ = & \phi(a_{11}b_{12}) + \phi(c_{12}d_{22}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *ϕ is additive on $\mathcal{T}_{12}$.*

Proof. Let a_{12} and b_{12} be any two elements of $\mathcal{T}_{12}$. Since ϕ is surjective, there exists a $c \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\phi(c) = \phi(a_{12}) + \phi(b_{12})$.

Now for any $t_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, by Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\phi(t_{22}c + ct_{22}) = \phi(t_{22}a_{12} + a_{12}t_{22}) + \phi(t_{22}b_{12} + b_{12}t_{22}) = \phi(a_{12}t_{22}) + \phi(b_{12}t_{22}).$$

Again, using Lemma 3.2, for any $s_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(s_{11}(t_{22}c + ct_{22}) + (t_{22}c + ct_{22})s_{11}) \\
&= \phi(s_{11}a_{12}t_{22} + a_{12}t_{22}s_{11}) + \phi(s_{11}b_{12}t_{22} + b_{12}t_{22}s_{11}) \\
&= \phi(s_{11}a_{12}t_{22}) + \phi(s_{11}b_{12}t_{22}) \\
&= \phi(s_{11}a_{12}t_{22} + s_{11}b_{12}t_{22}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we apply Lemma 3.5. It follows that

$$s_{11}c_{12}t_{22} = s_{11}a_{12}t_{22} + s_{11}b_{12}t_{22}.$$

This gives us $c_{12} = a_{12} + b_{12}$.

To show $c_{11} = 0$, we first consider $\phi(t_{11}c + ct_{11})$ for any $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$. We have

$$\phi(t_{11}c + ct_{11}) = \phi(t_{11}a_{12} + a_{12}t_{11}) + \phi(t_{11}b_{12} + b_{12}t_{11}) = \phi(t_{11}a_{12}) + \phi(t_{11}b_{12}).$$

Furthermore, for arbitrary $s_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$,

$$\phi(s_{12}(t_{11}c + ct_{11}) + (t_{11}c + ct_{11})s_{12}) = \phi(s_{12}t_{11}a_{12} + t_{11}a_{12}s_{12}) + \phi(s_{12}t_{11}b_{12} + t_{11}b_{12}s_{12}) = 0.$$

This implies that $t_{11}c_{11}s_{12} + c_{11}t_{11}s_{12} = 0$, and so $c_{11} = 0$.

Note that $\phi(t_{12}c + ct_{12}) = \phi(t_{12}a_{12} + a_{12}t_{12}) + \phi(t_{12}b_{12} + b_{12}t_{12}) = 0$. Now, $c_{22} = 0$ follows easily. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. ϕ is additive on $\mathcal{T}_{11}$.

Proof. Suppose that a_{11} and b_{11} are two arbitrary elements of $\mathcal{T}_{11}$. Let $c \in \mathcal{T}$ be an element of $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\phi(c) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{11})$.

For any $t_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, we get

$$\phi(t_{22}c + ct_{22}) = \phi(t_{22}a_{11} + a_{11}t_{22}) + \phi(t_{22}b_{11} + b_{11}t_{22}) = 0.$$

Therefore, $t_{22}c + ct_{22} = 0$, which leads to $c_{12} = c_{22} = 0$.

Similarly, we can get $c_{11} = a_{11} + b_{11}$ from

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(t_{12}c + ct_{12}) &= \phi(t_{12}a_{11} + a_{11}t_{12}) + \phi(t_{12}b_{11} + b_{11}t_{12}) \\
&= \phi(a_{11}t_{12}) + \phi(b_{11}t_{12}) = \phi(a_{11}t_{12} + b_{11}t_{12}).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.8. ϕ is additive on $\mathcal{T}_{22}$.

Proof. For any $a_{22}, b_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, by the surjectivity of ϕ , there is $c \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\phi(c) = \phi(a_{22}) + \phi(b_{22})$.

We only show $c_{12} = 0$. One can easily derive $c_{11} = 0$ and $c_{22} = a_{22} + b_{22}$ by considering $\phi(t_{11}c + ct_{11})$ and $\phi(t_{12}c + ct_{12})$ for any $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $t_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$ respectively.

To show $c_{12} = 0$. We first consider $\phi(t_{22}c + ct_{22})$ for arbitrary $t_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$. We obtain

$$\phi(t_{22}c + ct_{22}) = \phi(t_{22}a_{22} + a_{22}t_{22}) + \phi(t_{22}b_{22} + b_{22}t_{22}).$$

For any s_{11} , using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(s_{11}(t_{22}c + ct_{22}) + (t_{22}c + ct_{22})s_{11}) \\
&= \phi(s_{11}(t_{22}a_{22} + a_{22}t_{22}) + (t_{22}a_{22} + a_{22}t_{22})s_{11}) \\
& \quad + \phi(s_{11}(t_{22}b_{22} + b_{22}t_{22}) + (t_{22}b_{22} + b_{22}t_{22})s_{11}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, $c_{12} = 0$ follows obviously. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *For each $a_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $b_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, we have*

$$\phi(a_{11} + b_{22}) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{22}).$$

Proof. Since ϕ is surjective, we can pick $c \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\phi(c) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{22})$.

Considering $\phi(t_{11}c + ct_{11})$ and $\phi(t_{22}c + ct_{22})$ for arbitrary $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$ and $t_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$, one can infer that $c_{11} = a_{11}$, $c_{12} = 0$, and $c_{22} = b_{22}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *For any $a_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$, $b_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$, and $c_{22} \in \mathcal{T}_{22}$,*

$$\phi(a_{11} + b_{12} + c_{22}) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{12}) + \phi(c_{22}).$$

Proof. Let $d \in \mathcal{T}$ be chosen such that $\phi(d) = \phi(a_{11}) + \phi(b_{12}) + \phi(c_{22})$. On one side, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\phi(d) = \phi(a_{11} + b_{12}) + \phi(c_{22}).$$

Now for any $t_{11} \in \mathcal{T}_{11}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(t_{11}d + dt_{11}) \\
&= \phi(t_{11}(a_{11} + b_{12}) + (a_{11} + b_{12})t_{11}) + \phi(t_{11}c_{22} + c_{22}t_{11}) \\
&= \phi(t_{11}a_{11} + t_{11}b_{12} + a_{11}t_{11}),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives us

$$t_{11}d_{11} + t_{11}d_{12} + d_{11}t_{11} = t_{11}a_{11} + t_{11}b_{12} + a_{11}t_{11}.$$

Hence, $d_{11} = a_{11}$ and $d_{12} = b_{12}$.

On the other side, by Lemma 3.9, we see that

$$\phi(d) = \phi(a_{11} + c_{22}) + \phi(b_{12}).$$

For any $t_{12} \in \mathcal{T}_{12}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(t_{12}d + dt_{12}) \\
&= \phi(t_{12}(a_{11} + c_{22}) + (a_{11} + c_{22})t_{12}) + \phi(t_{12}b_{12} + b_{12}t_{12}) \\
&= \phi(t_{12}c_{22} + a_{11}t_{12}).
\end{aligned}$$

We can infer $d_{22} = c_{22}$ from the fact that $t_{12}d + dt_{12} = t_{12}c_{22} + a_{11}t_{12}$. $\square$

We are in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ be a triangular algebra, where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are two algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a faithful $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy*

(i) *If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $ax + xa = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then $a = 0$;*

(ii) *If $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $by + yb = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{B}$, then $b = 0$.*

Let ϕ be a Jordan map from $\mathcal{T}$ to an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$, i.e., for any $s, t \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\phi(st + ts) = \phi(s)\phi(t) + \phi(t)\phi(s).$$

If ϕ is bijective, then ϕ is additive.

Proof. For arbitrary s and t in $\mathcal{T}$. We write $s = s_{11} + s_{12} + s_{22}$ and $t = t_{11} + t_{12} + t_{22}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(s + t) \\ &= \phi((s_{11} + s_{12} + s_{22}) + (t_{11} + t_{12} + t_{22})) \\ &= \phi((s_{11} + t_{11}) + (s_{12} + t_{12}) + (s_{22} + t_{22})) \\ &= \phi(s_{11} + t_{11}) + \phi(s_{12} + t_{12}) + \phi(s_{22} + t_{22}) \\ &= \phi(s_{11}) + \phi(t_{11}) + \phi(s_{12}) + \phi(t_{12}) + \phi(s_{22}) + \phi(t_{22}) \\ &= (\phi(s_{11}) + \phi(s_{12}) + \phi(s_{22})) + (\phi(t_{11}) + \phi(t_{12}) + \phi(t_{22})) \\ &= \phi(s) + \phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

4. JORDAN TRIPLE MAPS ON TRIANGULAR ALGEBRAS

The aim of this section is to investigate the additivity of Jordan triple maps on triangular algebras. We shall show that every Jordan triple bijective map from a triangular algebra onto any ring is automatically additive. We only give the outline of the proof as it is a modification of the proof of the related results of section 2.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{M}$ be a faithful $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, and $\mathcal{T}$ be the triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Suppose that algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy:*

(i) *If $a\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$, or $\mathcal{A}a = \{0\}$, then $a = 0$;*

(ii) *If $b\mathcal{B} = \{0\}$, or $\mathcal{B}b = \{0\}$, then $b = 0$.*

Let ψ be a Jordan triple map from $\mathcal{T}$ to an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$, i.e., for any $r, s, t \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\psi(rst + tsr) = \psi(r)\psi(s)\psi(t) + \psi(t)\psi(s)\psi(r).$$

If ψ is bijective, then ψ is additive.

Proof. We divide the proof into a series of claims.

Claim 1: $\psi(0) = 0$.

We find $a \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\psi(a) = 0$. Then $\psi(0) = \psi(0 \cdot a \cdot 0) + \psi(0 \cdot a \cdot 0) = \psi(0)\psi(a)\psi(0) + \psi(0)\psi(a)\psi(0) = 0$.

Claim 2: If $\psi(c) = \psi(a) + \psi(b)$, then

$$\psi(tsc + cst) = \psi(t)\psi(s)\psi(c)$$

holds true for all $s, t \in \mathcal{T}$.

One can get this easily by modifying the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Claim 3: $\psi(a_{11} + b_{12}) = \psi(a_{11}) + \psi(b_{12})$ and $\psi(a_{12} + b_{22}) = \psi(a_{12}) + \psi(b_{22})$.

We omit the proof as it is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4.

Claim 4: $\psi(t_{11}a_{11}b_{12} + t_{11}c_{12}d_{22}) = \psi(t_{11}a_{11}b_{12}) + \psi(t_{11}c_{12}d_{22})$.

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(t_{11}a_{11}b_{12} + t_{11}c_{12}d_{22}) \\ = & \psi(t_{11}(a_{11} + c_{12})(b_{12} + d_{22}) + (b_{12} + d_{22})(a_{11} + c_{12})t_{11}) \\ = & \psi(t_{11})\psi(a_{11} + c_{12})\psi(b_{12} + d_{22}) + \psi(b_{12} + d_{22})\psi(a_{11} + c_{12})\psi(t_{11}) \\ = & \psi(t_{11})(\psi(a_{11}) + \psi(c_{12}))(\psi(b_{12}) + \psi(d_{22})) \\ & + (\psi(b_{12}) + \psi(d_{22}))(\psi(a_{11}) + \psi(c_{12}))\psi(t_{11}) \\ = & \psi(t_{11}a_{11}b_{12} + b_{12}a_{11}t_{11}) + \psi(t_{11}a_{11}d_{22} + d_{22}a_{11}t_{11}) \\ & + \psi(t_{11}c_{12}b_{12} + b_{12}c_{12}t_{11}) + \psi(t_{11}c_{12}d_{22} + d_{22}c_{12}t_{11}) \\ = & \psi(t_{11}a_{11}b_{12}) + \psi(t_{11}c_{12}d_{22}). \end{aligned}$$

Claim 5: ψ is additive on $\mathcal{T}_{12}$, $\mathcal{T}_{11}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{22}$.

It follows similarly by modifying the proof of Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7, and Lemma 3.8, and using Claim 2, Claim 3, and Claim 4.

Claim 6: $\psi(a_{11} + b_{22}) = \psi(a_{11}) + \psi(b_{22})$.

See the proof Lemma 3.9

Claim 7: $\psi(a_{11} + b_{12} + c_{22}) = \psi(a_{11}) + \psi(b_{12}) + \psi(c_{22})$.

See the proof of Lemma 3.10

Claim 8: ψ is additive.

The same as the proof of Theorem 3.11

□

The following corollary follows directly if both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are unital.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two unital algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{M}$ be a faithful $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, and $\mathcal{T}$ be the triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Suppose that ψ is a Jordan triple map from $\mathcal{T}$ to an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$. If ψ is bijective, then ψ is additive.*

Similar to Corollary 2.9, if both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are standard operator algebras, we have

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two standard operator algebras over a Banach space X , $\mathcal{M}$ a faithful $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule, and $\mathcal{T}$ be the triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Then any Jordan triple bijective map from $\mathcal{R}$ onto an arbitrary ring $\mathcal{R}'$ is additive.*

REFERENCES

1. W. S. Cheung, Commuting maps on triangular algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **63** (2001), 117–127.
2. P. Ji, Jordan maps on triangular algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, (to appear).
3. W. Jing, Additivity of Jordan elementary maps on rings, preprint (2007).
4. W. Jing, Jordan triple elementary maps on rings, preprint (2007).
5. P. Li, W. Jing, Jordan elementary maps on rings, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **382** (2004), 237–245.
6. P. Li, F. Lu, Additivity of elementary maps on rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **32** (2004), 3725–3737.
7. F. Lu, Additivity of Jordan maps on standard operator algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **357** (2002), 123–131.
8. F. Lu, Jordan maps on associative algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **31** (2003), 2273–2286.
9. W. S. Martindale III, When are multiplicative mappings additive? *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **21** (1969) 695–698.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION, LUDONG UNIVERSITY, YANTAI, 264025 P. R. CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FAYETTEVILLE STATE UNIVERSITY, FAYETTEVILLE, NC 28301

E-mail address: `wjing@uncfsu.edu`